UNCLASSIFIED

in other model infections prior to studies in man,

DO FORM 1473 PENTION OF I HOVER IS OSCOLETE

EFFECT OF INTERFERON ON TOGAVIRUS AND ARENAVIRUS INFECTIONS OF ANIMALS 1,2

E.L. Stephen, S.K. Scott, G.A. Eddy, and H.B. Levy

United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701, USA, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland 21714

INTRODUCTION

Land Day of Marie

Viruses differ markedly with respect to their in vitro sensitivity to the effects of interferon (IF). In addition, there appears to be little correlation between in vitro IF sensitivity and virulence in vivo. Although there are some exceptions, the virulent togaviruses and arenaviruses are no more resistant to IF in vitro than avirulent, group-related viruses. There are data which might suggest a greater IF sensitivity of the attenuated Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VE) vaccine strain than the parent virus (7, 8), but in general it is not possible to correlate togavirus IF sensitivity to virulence. Togaviruses are considered generally to be very sensitive to the effects of IF (3, 15).

There is a paucity of information regarding the IF s sitivity of arenaviruses. It appears, however, that the IF sensitivity of arenaviruses is not appearently related to virulence. Previous reports have shown that lymphocytic choriomeningitis (LCM) virus, a member of the arenavirus group, does not induce IF in mice, and have described the role of IF in the development of the well-characterized carrier state of infection (6, 16). Recently Luscri characterized several arenaviruses with reopect to their sensitivity to human IF when assayed in African green mankey kidney (RS-C-1) call cultures (16). Arenaviruses were less sensitive than vesicular stomatitis (VS) virus, with Tacaribe, Machupo, and LCM viruses being 10-, 100-, and >100-fold less sensitive, respectively, than VS virus (16). When assayed in LLC-MK2 cell cultures, Tacaribe virus was only twofold less sensitive than VS and VE viruses, and equally as sensitive as yellow fever (YF) virus (16).

The relative IF sensitivity of viruses may have an important bearing on the efficacy of IF inducers in the treatment of virus-induced disease. The greater sensitivity of togaviruses to IF roughly correlates with their in vivo sensitivity to IF inducers. VE and YF virus infections of mice were successfully treated by the prophylactic administration of tilorone hydrochloride or poly(I) poly(C) stabilized with carboxymethyl-

keys given poly(ICLC) initially on day 0 were detectably viremic on day 5. These monkeys had significantly greater viremia than that of untreated control monkeys on days 10 (P < 0.05 one-way analysis of variance) and 12 (P < 0.01). The mean time to death was similar to that ifor virus control monkeys. When treatment was initiated on day 1, viremia was again significantly greater than that of control monkeys on days 10 (P < 0.05) and 12 (P < 0.01). With initial treatment on day 7, the mean time to death was day 15, and one of three monkeys survived. Since occasional infected, untreated monkeys survive, it is not possible to attracte this survival to poly(ICLC) treatment. The viremia response at these monkeys given late treatment was not significantly different from that of untreated, control monkeys.

Untreated virus control monkeys had detectable interferon as early as day 3, and all three mont us had detectable IF by day 5 (50 to 125 units) 2 days earlier than viremia was detected (Table 1). In addition, peak IF (up to 700 units) occurred prior to peak viremia. Two of three monkeys treated initially on day 0 did not have detectable interferon until day 5, coincident with onset of viremia. IF response in the monkeys initially treated on day 1 was similar to monkeys treated on day 0. When treatment was delayed until day 7, the IF response paralleled that of the untreated monkeys. The appearance of IF in the serum of untreated monkeys prior to the onset of viremia was unexpected and is probably a further indication that the pathogenesis of the more IF-resistant arenavirus infections cannot be favorably altered by treatment with poly-(ICLC). Poly(ICLC) treatment of Machupo virus infection of monkeys appears to be clearly contraindicated, since monkeys treated early in infection have significantly higher viremias than untreated, virus control monkeys. A possible explanation for the higher viremias is that IF and/ or poly(ICLC) either altered or stimulated the production of certain cell types that are target tissues for replication of Machapo virus.

SUMMARY

The sensitivity of togaviruses to the effects of IF oppears to permit successful exploitation of IF inducers, whereas the relative insensitivity of arenaviruses to IF in vitro appears to correlate with the complete lack of success of poly(ICLC) therapy in the monkey model. Noteworthy is the successful use of poly(ICLC) against fatal encephalitis caused by JE virus in monkeys. Additional studies appear to be warranted to characterize further the role of IF and/or IF inducers in other model infections prior to studies in man.

ACKNOWLEDGHENTS

We wish to acknowledge the assistance of Mrs. Regina E. Staley and PFC Jenny A. Kennard and Ms. Linda K. Stup for preparation of this manuscript. We are especially indebted to Mrs. Phobe W. Summers for her editorial assistance, to LTC Harry Rozmiarek and MAJ Michael C. Powanda for their critical comments and to Mr. Glen A. Higbee for statistical unalyses.

THO NOTE TYPE RELOW THE STATE

Footnotes " OF TEXT HERE

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as promulgated by the Committee on the Revision of the Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council. The facilities are fully accredited by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

The views of the author do not purport to reflect the positions of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense.

REFERENCES 30 Man LAbit Ofm, April wills in all the

- 1. Armstrong, J. A.: Semi-micro, dye-binding assay for rabbit interferon. Appl. Microbiol., 21: 723-725, 1971.
- 2. Eddy, G. A., S. K. Scott, F. S. Wagner, and O. M. Brand: Pathogenesis of Machapo virus infection in primates. Bull. WHO, 52: 517-521,
- 3. Hallum, J. V., H. R. Thacore, and J. S. Youngner: Factors affecting the sensitivity of different viruses to interferon. J. Virol., 6: 156-162, 1970.
- . 4. Harrington, D. G.: Personal communication, 1977.
- 5. Harrington, D. G., D. E. Hilmas, M. R. Elwell, R. E. Whitmire, and E. L. Stephen: Intranasal infection with Japanese encephalitis virus: clinical response and treatment with a nuclease-resistant derivative of poly(I) poly(C). Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., in press, 1977.
- 6. Holtermann, O. A., and E. A. Havell: Reduced interferon response in mice congeritally infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. J. Gen. Virol. 9: 101-103, 1970.
- 7. Jahrling, P. B., E. Navarro, and W. F. Scherer: Interferon induction and sensitivity as correlates to virulence of Venezuelan encepha-Litis viruses for hamsters. Arch. Virol., 51: 23-25, 1976.
- 8. Jordan, G. W.: Interferon sensitivity of Venezuelan equine encephalomvelitis virus. Infect. Immun., 7: 911-917, 1973.
- 9. Kastello, M. D., G. A. Eddy, and R. W. Kuchne: A rhesus monkey model for the study of Bolivian hemorrhagic fever. J. Infect. Dis., 133: 57-**62, 1976.**
- 10. Kuehne, R. W.: Biological containment facility for studying infectious disease. Appl. Microbiol., 26: 239-243, 1973.
- 11. Kuchne, R. W., W. L. Pannier, and E. L. Stephen: An indirect mouse model for evaluating potential antiviral compounds, p. 5. In Abstracts of the Annual Meeting, American Society for Microbiology, Atlantic City, N.J., 2-7 May 1976.
- 12. Kuchne, R. W., W. L. Pannier, and E. L. Stephen: Evaluation of various analogues of tilorone hydrochloride against Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus in mice. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 11: 92-97, 1977.
- 13. Kushne, R. W., W. L. Pannier, and E. L. Stephen: Indirect mouse model for the evaluation of potential antiviral compounds: results with Venezuelan equine encephalomyelitis virus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 11: in press, 1977, E.

141 Levy, G. B., G. Baer, S. Baron, C. E. Buckler, C. J. Gibbs, M. J., Radarola, W. T. London, and J. Rice: A modified polyriboinosinic-polyribocyttdylic acid complex that induces interferon in primates. J. Infect. Dis., 132: 434-439, 1975.

15. Lockart, R. Z.: Criteria for acceptance of a viral inhibitor as an interferon and a general description of the biological properties of known interferons, p. 11-27. In N. B. Finter, ed., Interferons and Interferon Inducers, Rev. Ed. American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York. 1973.

16. Luscri, B. J.: Technical support for the bioassay of interferons, p. 425-429. In Annual Progress Report FY 1976. U. S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Md., 1976.

17. Mims, C. A., and T. P. Subrahmanyan: Immunofluorescence study of the mechanism of resistance to superinfection in mice carrying the lymphocycic choriomeningitis virus. J. Pathol. Bacteriol., 91: 403-415, 1966.

16. Perers, C. J., R. W. Kuehne, R. R. Mercado, R. H. Le Bow, R. O. Spertzel, and P. A. Webb: Hemorrhagic fever in Cochabamba, Bolivia, 1971. Am. J. Epidemiol., 99: 425-433, 1974.

19. Postic, B., and G. E. Sather: Effect of polyI:C in mice injected with Japanese B encephalitis virus. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 173: 606-613, 1970.

20. Stephen, E.: Evaluation of promising compounds for antiviral use against diseases of medical importance to the military, p. 299-308. In Annual Progress Report FY 1976. U. S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Md., 1976.

21. Stephen, E. L., M. L. Sammons, W. L. Pannier, S. Baron, R. O. Spertzel, and H. B. Levy: Effect of a nuclease-resistant derivative of polyriboinosinic-polyribocytidylic acid complex on yellow fever in rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta). J. Infect. Dis., 136: in press, 1977.

22. Wagner, F. S., G. A. Eddy, and O. M. Brand: The African green monkey as an alternate primate host for studying Machupo virus infectious Hisease. Am. J. Trop. Med. Byg., 26: 159-162, 1977.

THE STILL SELECT THE PARTY OF THE

۔ ا													
_	Monkey	1	irenia	(PFU/A	Viremia (PFU/mi) [Interferon (Units/ml)] log10	terfer	on (Un	its/mi)] log	10	Kop	Moon nook don	Day
KA EGILLETILL		٥	3	5	7		12	14	17	21	Virenta	Interferon	Death
H	∢;	ı	ı	ī	2.88	3.60	2.40	3,60	3.11	1.00	-		21
(None)		ı	4	[2.1]	[2.8] [2.4] [1.4] [2.1]	[5.4]	[1.4]	[2.1]	[2.1]	[0.7]	-	٠	
ı.)	æ	1	•	•	2.48	3.18	3.18	3.25	4.11	2.76	12		1,
roj		,	[1.9]	[1.7]	[2.8] [2.4]	[5.4]				ı	;;,	7	:
1, 10	ပ	ı	ı	ı	2.00	3.23	3.30	3.95	4.15	A	;.··		18
1.			1	[2.1]	[2.8]	[2.8]	-	[1.4]	[1.4]		··.•		3
I,	A	, ?	,	1	- 3	3.54	4.23	5.18	9.00	A	\ \ !:!		19
12.00		[2.3]	[7.7]	10.7	[6.0]	ı	[3.5]	[2.8]	[2.1]		PE.		
771	M	ı	i	3.18	4.23	5.58	5.36	4.93	Ω		D		16
		ı	ı	[2,1]	[3.1] [2.8]	[5.8]	[2,1]	[1.6]			(a***	12	
Ņ	ÇL,	ı		2,45	3.90	5.20	5.08	4.52	3.34	2.51	Ţì		27
		1		١	[2.8]	[2.8]	[1.4]	•	i	ı	re"		
III	ပ	ı	1	1	4.67	4.70	5.04	3.93	4.68	A	4.		20
H-5128			[1.4]	[2.8]	[2.8]	[2.8]	[2.1]	j	ι		EE		
	Ħ	ı	ŧ	ŧ	3.18	5.28	4.85	6.00	5.62	A	~		18
			ı	(1.4]	[3.5]	[3.8]	[1.6]	[1.6]	-			7	i
	H	ı	ı	t	4.15	4.48	5.28	4.15	5.23	Ð	Ţ		19
		•	12.11	[2.8]		[1.4]	[1.4]	[0.7]	-		i		1
A	רי	i	•	1	3.08	4.51		4.38	۵				16
0-12)		ı	ı	[2.3]	[2.8] [2.8]	[2.8]	[2.1]	[0.7]			•		
	×	ľ	ι	ı	3.34	5.11	5.8	Ω			17		14
		ı	[1.0]	ŧ	[3.0]	[5.6]	ŧ					7	
•	,	ı	1	1.70					3.18	1.70			s
		ا،	7	12.7	2.1] [2.1]	[5.3]	[1.7]	0.7]	[0.7]	ı			